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Session Description and Objectives

There is a tendency to consider patient centric formulation design as a late-stage development activity. The protracted timelines associated with some commercially approved long-acting injectables are testament to difficulties encountered when patient centricity is considered too late. This is even more critical for nanomedicines when used as targeted drug delivery systems, for which bridging is extremely challenging. It is therefore paramount to begin with a QTPP, defining end-product requirements before embarking on such a development.

1. Have awareness of types of formulations that would benefit from the use of QTPP
2. Have awareness of the difficulties of demonstrating bioequivalence for long acting injectables and complex medicines
3. Consider patient needs at the heart of designing formulations by defining QTPP before embarking on development especially for long acting injectables
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Design Fail!
Patient Centric Formulation Design

• Consider the end user experience

• Are complex parenterals a special case?
A growing movement bringing patient centric design to the fore

• For example:

• BioRAM - A proposal to better integrate pharmaceutical and clinical development for patient benefit (J. Pharm. Sci 103: 3377-3397, 2014) by Arzu Selen, Paul A Dickinson et al.

• A body of case studies demonstrate that BioRAM thinking wins
Long Acting injectables (LAIs)

• Four different commercial presentations of exenatide. The final autoinjector presentation addresses the pharmaceutical, therapeutic and user factors in one elegant design

• Significant challenges associated with bridging for LAIs
  • Long time to steady state leads to high drop out rates, high risk of BE failure

• Without the ‘right’ product, can lose significant market share
Nanomedicines and Targeted Delivery

Bridging is an even bigger issue for complex parenterals!

- Systemic PK is rarely representative or efficacy/safety outcomes
- Significant challenges associated with bioanalysis
  - Sample stability (continued release of drug in sample post collection)
  - Process induced artefacts (cross contamination, nanoparticle rupture)
  - Differentiation between protein bound and encapsulated drug
- Need to frontload activities to increase chances of successful translation from preclinical to clinical and through scale up
Delicate Balance between short term and longer term aims

- Heavy price to pay if left too late
- A bit of foresight can help tip the balance in your favour
Start with the end in mind!

- Simple tools can be used to integrate pharmaceutical and clinical development
- Cross functional discussions are essential
- Develop target profiles:
  - Target product profile (TPP)
  - Candidate drug Target Profile (CDTP)
  - and Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP)
- Think about bridging strategy when designing the clinical programme – including the bridge from preclinical to clinical to commercial

With a view to commercial presentation(s) (including device)
Defining the TPP

Key considerations about the patient experience are often missed.

These factors should be considered at project inception:
- Route of administration
- Frequency of administration
- Treatment scenario – at home or in GP surgery/clinic/hospital
- Need for drug delivery

These are fundamental to selecting an appropriate lead candidate (CDTP) and in designing the product presentation (QTPP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Properties</th>
<th>Minimum Acceptable Result</th>
<th>Ideal Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Product Indication</td>
<td>Relief of pain symptoms in diabetic neuropathy</td>
<td>Relief of symptoms in neuropathic pain syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Population</td>
<td>Adults with diabetes who experience moderate to severe pain</td>
<td>Adults with diabetes who experience moderate to severe pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Duration</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Mode</td>
<td>Subcutaneous injections</td>
<td>Subcutaneous injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage Form</td>
<td>Prefilled vials with liquid</td>
<td>Prefilled vials with liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regimen</td>
<td>Once every month</td>
<td>Once every 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy</td>
<td>A 40% decrease in pain score in 30% of patients</td>
<td>A 70% decrease in pain score in 50% of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk/Side Effect</td>
<td>Devoid of local injection effect and clinically significant CNS side effect</td>
<td>Devoid of local injection effect and any CNS side effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic modality</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREATE Bio Example: Target Product Profile (TPP) | National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (nih.gov)
Selecting the right candidate (CDTP)

- Candidate must be able to fulfil the TPP
- Ability to cross biological barriers
- Suitability for dosage form
- Dose
  - Potency, bioavailability at site of action
  - Biological stability

Design assay cascade accordingly!
Designing the Product (eQTPP)

- Not a late stage activity!
- This is your design brief to ensure your product meets the TPP
- Takes place during preclinical development, PRIOR to formulation feasibility studies
- Include formulation AND device i.e. final product presentation
- It may be very costly (or impossible!) to course correct later on
- Focus on performance related aspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific patient needs relating to patient demographic and disease implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Route of administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose and frequency of administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Release profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injection Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Excipient precedence/Tolerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osmolality Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose Preparation (single component or multi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device</td>
<td>Steps-to-injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall Size of Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needle gauge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concluding Remarks

• Tools like BioRAM and QTPP, in combination with specific patient profiles should be used early to ‘start with the end in mind’

• Embark on phase appropriate activities, mindful of value inflection points, but don’t neglect to consider final product presentation and how you will navigate the path to commercial

• The patient experience should be up there with efficacy and safety to maximise your chances of success

• It’s never too early to put the patient first, but it may well be too late!
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